

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wayto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,088	01/10/2006	Jianming Chen	133697-0002	8599
35684 BUTZEL LON	7590 12/01/200 G	EXAMINER		
IP DOCKETIN	G DEPT	KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
350 SOUTH M SUITE 300	AIN STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ANN ARBOR,	MI 48104	1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENT@BUTZEL.COM BOUDRIE@BUTZEL.COM

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/544,088	CHEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gollamudi S. Kishore	1612	

omoorionon cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gollamudi S. Kishore	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. OF 12 CFR 1.1. OF 1	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 O	ctober 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stane			
application from the International Bureau	•	a in this reational	Olage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ıd				
222 Mis diagonal asiansa Gines dellon lor a list						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(BTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-10-06; 7-29-05.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

### DETAILED ACTION

The response to the election requirement dated 10-19-09 is acknowledged.

Upon consideration, the restriction requirement is withdrawn.

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-20.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
  indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
  applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as to what applicant intends to convey by 'asiaticoside that is enwrapped in the middle of liposomal bilayer to form a hydrophilic opalescent suspension' as recited in claim 1. First of all, liposomes are made of lipids such as phospholipids and therefore, they are not hydrophilic. Liposomes are generally suspended in the hydrating medium which is hydrophilic. Furthermore, if the active agent which is encapsulated is lipophilic, then it would be in the bilayer, that is between the phospholipid molecules and not in the middle of the bilayer. Furthermore, it is unclear as to what the liposomes are made of as recited in claim 1. Reciting these components is essential since the dependent claim recites phospholipids such as lecithin, DSPC and DPPC as further comprising.

Step a) in claim 2 recites 'providing asiaticoside and a liposome'. It is unclear as to what applicant intends to convey by this expression. A careful review of the

Art Unit: 1612

specification indicates that the lipids and asiaticoside are dissolved in an organic solvent and then hydrated to produce liposomes and not by the way as recited in step a).

Similar is the case with claim 10.

Claim 4 is confusing since it recites two ratios for asiaticoside and lipid components. Which is the limitation in the claim? Similar is the case with claims 11 and 12.

What is the distinction between distilled water or deionized water and purified water as recited in claims 6 and 14?

The last line in claim 10 recites "to produce an asiaticoside'. This is incorrect since what is produced is a liposome containing asiaticoside. What is meant by 'subjecting to microjet'?

What is conveyed by 'wherein asiaticoside-liposome comprises a cosmetic' in claims 9 and 20? The expression can be interpreted in two ways. 1) The composition is a cosmetic composition; 2) the liposomes further comprise a cosmetic encapsulated within

Claims 2 and 10 are method claims and not product claims. If applicant's intent is to claim the product produced by the process is for pharmaceutical or cosmetic purposes, then claims 15-20 should be amended to recite such limitation.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1612

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

 Claims 1, 4, 9 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ji (Derwent 2002/233892).

Ji discloses niosomes containing both asiaticoside (40 %) and ceramide (abstract). Niosomes are non-ionic surfactant liposomes. Behan (5,156,766) is cited of interest in this context (see col. 1, lines 45-46).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denis (5,286,629) of record or Ravaux (6,416,768) in combination with Zysman (5,773,611), Park (6,372,236), Kitson (6,824,785) individually or in combination.

Denis discloses liposomal formulations for regeneration of epidermis and a method of preparation of liposomes. The liposomes contain asiaticoside, dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine. The method of preparation involves dissolving the lipid and asiaticoside in an organic solvent, atomizing at about 60 degrees to give a powder and hydrating the powder with an aqueous medium to produce liposomes (Abstract, col. 2, lines 57-63, col. 6, lines 29-56, example 3).

Art Unit: 1612

Ravaux teaches vesicular compositions containing phospholipids and asiaticoside (abstract. col. 4. lines 21-22. col. 5. line 25).

What is lacking in Denis or Ravaux is ceramide in the liposomal compositions.

Zysman discloses the importance of skin ceramides and teaches the use of ceramides in liposomal formulations for the treatment of skin. The method of preparation involves combining the ceramide with other lipids, evaporating the solvent at 40 degrees and hydrating the lipid film with an aqueous medium (abstract, col. 1, line 11 through col. 3, line 47, col. 5, lines 7-53, Examples 1 & 2, and claims)

Park discloses the importance of ceramides and teaches and liposomal compositions containing ceramides. The method of preparation involves heating the lipid mixture and adding the aqueous medium (col. 1, line 10 through col. 2, lines 57, col. 3, line 1 through col. 4, line 63, Preparation example II on col. 5, Text examples on columns 6 and 7 and claims).

Kitson similarly teaches liposomes containing ceramides for skin barrier replacement (abstract, col. 1, line 7 through col. 6, line 37, Example 1 and example 4 and claims).

The inclusion of ceramides in the mixture of phospholipids and asiaticoside of Denis would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because of the importance of ceramide in topical formulations taught by Zysman, Park and Kitson

 Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bombardelli (5,166,139) of record in combination with Zysman (5,773,611), Park (6,372,236), Kitson (6,824,785) individually or in combination.

Art Unit: 1612

Bombardelli teaches pharmaceutical and cosmetic compositions containing phospholipids and asiaticoside for topical administration. The method of preparation involves mixing of asiaticoside and soy phosphatidylcholine or distearoylphosphatidylcholine in an organic solvent, heating the mixture and removing the organic solvent (abstract, columns 1-3, Tables 1 and 2 and claims).

Bombardelli does not however teach the hydration of the mixture of asiaticoside and phospholipids. Bombardelli also lacks the teaching of the inclusion of ceramide.

Zysman discloses the importance of skin ceramides and teaches the use of ceramides in liposomal formulations for the treatment of skin. The method of preparation involves combining the ceramide with other lipids, evaporating the solvent at 40 degrees and hydrating the lipid film with an aqueous medium (abstract, col. 1, line 11 through col. 3, line 47, col. 5, lines 7-53, Examples 1 & 2, and claims)

Park discloses the importance of ceramides and teaches and liposomal compositions containing ceramides. The method of preparation involves heating the lipid mixture and adding the aqueous medium (col. 1, line 10 through col. 2, lines 57, col. 3, line 1 through col. 4, line 63, Preparation example II on col. 5, Text examples on columns 6 and 7 and claims).

Kitson similarly teaches liposomes containing ceramides for skin barrier replacement (abstract, col. 1, line 7 through col. 6, line 37, Example 1 and example 4 and claims).

The inclusion of ceramides in the mixture of phospholipids and asiaticoside of Bombardelli would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because of the

Art Unit: 1612

importance of ceramide in topical formulations taught by Zysman, Park and Kitson.

Hydration of the lipid mixture of Bombardelli would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since these references teach the formation of liposomes upon the addition of aqueous medium and since liposomes are art known sustained release vehicles.

8. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zysman (5,773,611) or Kitson (6,824,785) by themselves or in combination, in view of Shair (6,797,819).

Zysman discloses the importance of skin ceramides and teaches the use of ceramides in liposomal formulations for the treatment of skin. The method of preparation involves combining the ceramide with other lipids, evaporating the solvent at 40 degrees and hydrating the lipid film with an aqueous medium (abstract, col. 1, line 11 through col. 3, line 47, col. 5, lines 7-53, Examples 1 & 2, and claims). Zysman in addition teaches the inclusion of antioxidants as the active agents which include vitamin E (tocopherol) (col. 6, lines 53-59).

Kitson similarly teaches liposomes containing ceramides for skin barrier replacement (abstract, col. 1, line 7 through col. 6, line 37, Example 1 and example 4 and claims). Kitson in addition teaches the inclusion of antioxidants such as vitamin E (col. 5, lines 23-27).

Zysman and Kitson however, do not teach asiaticoside as the antioxidant.

Shair teaches that asiaticoside and vitamin E are antioxidants and they accelerate wound healing (col. 102, lines 64-67). Shair further teaches liposomes as

carriers (col. 26, line 16).

Art Unit: 1612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use asiaticoside in the compositions of Zysman or Kitson with a reasonable expectation of success because of the equivalency between asiaticoside and vitamin E taught by Shair.

9. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denis (5,286,629) or Ravaux (6,416,768) in combination with Zysman (5,773,611), Park (6,372,236), Kitson (6,824,785) individually or in combination OR over Bombardelli (5,166,139) in combination with Zysman (5,773,611), Park (6,372,236), Kitson (6,824,785) individually or in combination both set forth above, further in view of Ji cited above.

The teachings of the primary references have been discussed above. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated further to encapsulate both asiaticoside and ceramide in the phospholipid liposomes with a reasonable expectation of success since the Ji teaches that both compounds could be encapsulated in niosomes (non-surfactant liposomes).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krass Frederick can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,088 Page 9

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gollamudi S Kishore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612

GSK